

Dimension-agnostic inference for M-estimation

With Arun Kumar Kuchibhotla

Kenta Takatsu

Carnegie Mellon University

Bridging Root- n and Non-standard Asymptotics:
Dimension-agnostic Adaptive Inference in M-Estimation

Kenta Takatsu and Arun Kumar Kuchibhotla

Department of Statistics and Data Science, Carnegie Mellon University

Abstract

This manuscript studies a general approach to construct confidence sets for the solution of population-level optimization, commonly referred to as M-estimation. Statistical inference for M-estimation poses significant challenges due to the non-standard limiting behaviors of the corresponding estimator, which arise in settings with increasing dimension of parameters, non-smooth objectives, or constraints. We propose a simple and unified method that guarantees validity in both regular and irregular cases. Moreover, we provide a comprehensive width analysis of the proposed confidence set, showing that the convergence rate of the diameter is adaptive to the unknown degree of instance-specific regularity. We apply the proposed method to several high-dimensional and irregular statistical problems.

Keywords— Honest inference, Adaptive inference, Irregular M-estimation, Non-standard asymptotics, Extremum estimators.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Construction of the Confidence Set	6
3	Construction of Lower Confidence Bounds	8
3.1	Construction by concentration inequalities	9
3.2	Construction by the central limit theorem	12
3.3	On the validity of the CLT-based method	14
4	Statistical Applications	16
4.1	High-dimensional mean estimation	16
4.2	Misspecified linear regression	18
4.3	Manski's maximum score estimator	19
4.4	Quantile estimation without positive densities	21
5	Concluding Remarks	23

1. Motivation and Settings

Inference on Median

Observe IID real-valued R.V.s X_1, \dots, X_n and estimate $\mu = \text{med}(X)$.

Use the sample median as an estimator $\bar{\mu}_n = X_{(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}$.

Inference on Median

Observe IID real-valued R.V.s X_1, \dots, X_n and estimate $\mu = \text{med}(X)$.

Use the sample median as an estimator $\bar{\mu}_n = X_{(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}$.

How do we construct a confidence interval?

Inference on Median

Observe IID real-valued R.V.s X_1, \dots, X_n and estimate $\mu = \text{med}(X)$.

Use the sample median as an estimator $\bar{\mu}_n = X_{(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}$.

How do we construct a confidence interval?

1. $n^{1/2}(\bar{\mu}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1/(4f^2(\mu)))$ where $f(\mu) > 0$ is density at median.

Inference on Median

Observe IID real-valued R.V.s X_1, \dots, X_n and estimate $\mu = \text{med}(X)$.

Use the sample median as an estimator $\bar{\mu}_n = X_{(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}$.

How do we construct a confidence interval?

1. $n^{1/2}(\bar{\mu}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1/(4f^2(\mu)))$ where $f(\mu) > 0$ is density at median.
2. $n^{1/(2\beta)}(\bar{\mu}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} W(\beta)$ where $W(\beta)$ is **not Gaussian** (Smirnov, 1952) and β is the **Hölder smoothness of CDF** at μ (When $\beta = 1$, it reduces to the first case).

Inference on Median

Observe IID real-valued R.V.s X_1, \dots, X_n and estimate $\mu = \text{med}(X)$.

Use the sample median as an estimator $\bar{\mu}_n = X_{(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}$.

How do we construct a confidence interval?

1. $n^{1/2}(\bar{\mu}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1/(4f^2(\mu)))$ where $f(\mu) > 0$ is density at median.
2. $n^{1/(2\beta)}(\bar{\mu}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} W(\beta)$ where $W(\beta)$ is **not Gaussian** (Smirnov, 1952) and β is the **Hölder smoothness of CDF** at μ (When $\beta = 1$, it reduces to the first case).

Inference based on asymptotic normality requires $f(\mu) > 0$; otherwise, we need to know the precise value of β .

Many estimators display similar irregular behaviors where “limiting” distributions are non-standard, complicated or unknown (without additional assumptions).

ex) Median / quantile.

ex) Shape-restricted estimators and classification (Kim and Pollard, 1990).

ex) High-dimensional problems.

Many estimators display similar irregular behaviors where “limiting” distributions are non-standard, complicated or unknown (without additional assumptions).

ex) **Median / quantile**.

ex) **Shape-restricted estimators** and **classification** (Kim and Pollard, 1990).

ex) **High-dimensional problems**.

Statistical inference for these irregular problems are known to be challenging.

Asymptotic normality does *not* hold. **Bootstrap** is usually inconsistent.

Typically, you have to change the methods between regular and irregular cases.

Many estimators display similar irregular behaviors where “limiting” distributions are non-standard, complicated or unknown (without additional assumptions).

ex) **Median / quantile**.

ex) **Shape-restricted estimators** and **classification** (Kim and Pollard, 1990).

ex) **High-dimensional problems**.

Statistical inference for these irregular problems are known to be challenging.

Asymptotic normality does *not* hold. **Bootstrap** is usually inconsistent.

Typically, you have to change the methods between regular and irregular cases.

Q. Can we come up with a single confidence set that remains valid for for both regular and irregular settings?

Observe $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ from a distribution $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let Θ be a space of parameters.

$$\theta_P = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta)] \text{ and } \hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(X_i; \theta).$$

ex) Regression, maximum likelihood, cross-validation.

ex) Quantile estimation, shape-restricted estimation, classification.

ex) High-dimensional problems where the "dimension" d of Θ grows with n .

Observe $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ from a distribution $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let Θ be a space of parameters.

$$\theta_P = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta)] \text{ and } \hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(X_i; \theta).$$

ex) Regression, maximum likelihood, cross-validation.

ex) Quantile estimation, shape-restricted estimation, classification.

ex) High-dimensional problems where the "dimension" d of Θ grows with n .

The goal is to construct a confidence set $\mathbf{CI}_{n,\alpha}$ for θ_P with the following properties:

1. **Uniform validity** over \mathcal{P} , including both regular and irregular cases, i.e., $\inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}(\theta_P \in \mathbf{CI}_{n,\alpha}) \geq 1 - \alpha$
2. **Optimal and adaptive convergence rates** of the diameter of $\mathbf{CI}_{n,\alpha}$.

2. Proposed Procedure

Observe $X_1, \dots, X_{2n} \in \mathcal{X}$ from a distribution $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let Θ be a space of parameters.

The parameter of interest is $\theta_P := \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta)]$.

1. Split data $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ into two sets D_1 and D_2 . Using D_1 , construct *any* estimator $\hat{\theta}$.
2. For each $\theta \in \Theta$ and $X_i \in D_2$, define $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$.
3. Let $\bar{\xi}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the sample mean and variance of ξ_i computed on D_2 .
4. The confidence set is defined as $\mathbf{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ where $z_{1-\alpha}$ is $1 - \alpha$ quantile of the standard Normal.

Observe $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_{2n}, Y_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ from a distribution $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let Θ be a space of parameters.

Define $\theta_P := \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}[\{Y - \theta(X)\}^2]$.

1. Split data $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ into two sets D_1 and D_2 . Using D_1 , construct *any* estimator $\hat{\theta}$.
2. For each $\theta \in \Theta$ and $(X_i, Y_i) \in D_2$, define $\xi_i := \{Y_i - \theta(X_i)\}^2 - \{Y_i - \hat{\theta}(X_i)\}^2$.
3. Let $\bar{\xi}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the sample mean and variance of ξ_i based on D_2 .
4. The confidence set is defined as $\mathbf{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \Theta\}$.

Observe $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_{2n}, Y_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ from a distribution $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let Θ be a space of parameters.

Define $\theta_P := \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}[\{Y - \theta(X)\}^2 + \lambda(\theta)]$.

1. Split data $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ into two sets D_1 and D_2 . Using D_1 , construct *any* estimator $\hat{\theta}$.
2. For each $\theta \in \Theta$ and $(X_i, Y_i) \in D_2$, define $\xi_i := \{Y_i - \theta(X_i)\}^2 - \{Y_i - \hat{\theta}(X_i)\}^2$.
3. Let $\bar{\xi}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the sample mean and variance of ξ_i based on D_2 .
4. The confidence set is defined as $\text{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} + \lambda(\theta) - \lambda(\hat{\theta}) \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \Theta\}$.

Why does this work?

Observe that θ_P is a minimizer and $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta_P)] - \mathbb{E}[m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0$ for any $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$.

Why does this work?

Observe that θ_P is a minimizer and $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta_P)] - \mathbb{E}[m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0$ for any $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$.

A non-actionable but valid confidence set is $\left\{ \mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0 : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$.

Why does this work?

Observe that θ_P is a minimizer and $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta_P)] - \mathbb{E}[m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0$ for any $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$.

A non-actionable but valid confidence set is $\left\{ \mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0 : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$.

The proposed confidence set is $\left\{ n^{-1} \sum [m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})] \leq \gamma_{n,\alpha} : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$ where $\gamma_{n,\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ is an appropriate cutoff to guarantee validity. From earlier, we define $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$, and we can use the **central limit theorem (CLT)** for the **t-statistics** of $\{\xi_i\}$ to obtain $\gamma_{n,\alpha}$.

Why does this work?

Observe that θ_P is a minimizer and $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta_P)] - \mathbb{E}[m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0$ for any $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$.

A non-actionable but valid confidence set is $\left\{ \mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0 : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$.

The proposed confidence set is $\left\{ n^{-1} \sum [m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})] \leq \gamma_{n,\alpha} : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$ where $\gamma_{n,\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ is an appropriate cutoff to guarantee validity. From earlier, we define $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$, and we can use the **central limit theorem (CLT)** for the **t-statistics** of $\{\xi_i\}$ to obtain $\gamma_{n,\alpha}$.

This approach is agnostic to the dimension of Θ and the choice of the estimator $\hat{\theta}$.

Why does this work?

Observe that θ_P is a minimizer and $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta_P)] - \mathbb{E}[m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0$ for any $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$.

A non-actionable but valid confidence set is $\left\{ \mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \hat{\theta})] \leq 0 : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$.

The proposed confidence set is $\left\{ n^{-1} \sum [m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})] \leq \gamma_{n,\alpha} : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$ where $\gamma_{n,\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ is an appropriate cutoff to guarantee validity. From earlier, we define $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$, and we can use the **central limit theorem (CLT)** for the **t-statistics** of $\{\xi_i\}$ to obtain $\gamma_{n,\alpha}$.

This approach is agnostic to the dimension of Θ and the choice of the estimator $\hat{\theta}$.

This idea is not new (Vogel, 2008), but we use **sample-splitting** to estimate $\hat{\theta}$.

3. Theoretical Properties

Uniform Validity

Recall $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$. Let $\bar{\xi}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the sample mean and variance of ξ_i . The CI is defined as $\text{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \Theta\}$.

Uniform Validity

Recall $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$. Let $\bar{\xi}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the sample mean and variance of ξ_i . The CI is defined as $\text{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \Theta\}$.

Define $\xi_{P,i} := m(X_i; \theta_P) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$ and similarly for $\bar{\xi}_P$ and $\hat{\sigma}_P^2$.

Uniform Validity

Recall $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$. Let $\bar{\xi}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the sample mean and variance of ξ_i . The CI is defined as $\text{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \Theta\}$.

Define $\xi_{P,i} := m(X_i; \theta_P) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$ and similarly for $\bar{\xi}_P$ and $\hat{\sigma}_P^2$.

Denote the **Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance** by $\Delta_{n,P} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(n^{1/2}(\bar{\xi}_P - \mathbb{E}[\bar{\xi}_P]) / \hat{\sigma}_P \leq t) - \Phi(t) \right|$ where $\Phi(t)$ is the CDF of the standard Normal.

Uniform Validity

Recall $\xi_i := m(X_i; \theta) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$. Let $\bar{\xi}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ be the sample mean and variance of ξ_i . The CI is defined as $\text{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \Theta\}$.

Define $\xi_{P,i} := m(X_i; \theta_P) - m(X_i; \hat{\theta})$ and similarly for $\bar{\xi}_P$ and $\hat{\sigma}_P^2$.

Denote the **Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance** by $\Delta_{n,P} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P}(n^{1/2}(\bar{\xi}_P - \mathbb{E}[\bar{\xi}_P]) / \hat{\sigma}_P \leq t) - \Phi(t) \right|$ where $\Phi(t)$ is the CDF of the standard Normal.

Theorem 4

For any $n \geq 1$, it holds $\inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}(\theta_P \in \text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) \geq 1 - \alpha - \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta_{n,P}$.

We show conditions under which $\Delta_{n,P} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over \mathcal{P} . This holds under mild assumptions on P , including the cases traditionally considered “irregular”.

Convergence Rates

Let $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(A) := \sup\{\|a - b\| : a, b \in A\}$.

Theorem 8 (informal)

For all $\theta \in \Theta$,

- (1) **Curvature** condition: $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \geq c_1 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{1+\beta}$ for some $\beta \geq 0$.
- (2) **Variance** condition: $\text{Var}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \leq c_2 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{2\eta}$ for some $\eta < 1 + \beta$.

Then, we have $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(\text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) = O_P(n^{-1/(2+2\beta-2\eta)} + r_n^{1/(1+\beta)} + s_n^{1/(1+\beta)})$.

Convergence Rates

Let $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(A) := \sup\{\|a - b\| : a, b \in A\}$.

Theorem 8 (informal)

For all $\theta \in \Theta$,

- (1) **Curvature** condition: $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \geq c_1 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{1+\beta}$ for some $\beta \geq 0$.
- (2) **Variance** condition: $\text{Var}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \leq c_2 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{2\eta}$ for some $\eta < 1 + \beta$.

Then, we have $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(\text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) = O_P(n^{-1/(2+2\beta-2\eta)} + r_n^{1/(1+\beta)} + s_n^{1/(1+\beta)})$.

r_n depends on the complexity of Θ and the moments of the local envelope $\sup_{\|\theta - \theta_P\| < \delta} |m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)|$

Convergence Rates

Let $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(A) := \sup\{\|a - b\| : a, b \in A\}$.

Theorem 8 (informal)

For all $\theta \in \Theta$,

- (1) **Curvature** condition: $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \geq c_1 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{1+\beta}$ for some $\beta \geq 0$.
- (2) **Variance** condition: $\text{Var}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \leq c_2 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{2\eta}$ for some $\eta < 1 + \beta$.

Then, we have $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(\text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) = O_P(n^{-1/(2+2\beta-2\eta)} + r_n^{1/(1+\beta)} + s_n^{1/(1+\beta)})$.

s_n depends on the quality of the initial estimator $\hat{\theta}$.

Convergence Rates

Let $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(A) := \sup\{\|a - b\| : a, b \in A\}$.

Theorem 8 (informal)

For all $\theta \in \Theta$,

- (1) **Curvature** condition: $\mathbb{E}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \geq c_1 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{1+\beta}$ for some $\beta \geq 0$.
- (2) **Variance** condition: $\text{Var}[m(X; \theta) - m(X; \theta_P)] \leq c_2 \|\theta - \theta_P\|^{2\eta}$ for some $\eta < 1 + \beta$.

Then, we have $\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(\text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) = O_P(n^{-1/(2+2\beta-2\eta)} + r_n^{1/(1+\beta)} + s_n^{1/(1+\beta)})$.

Regular (smooth) problems correspond to $\eta = \beta = 1$, leading to $O_P(n^{-1/2})$.

So-called “cube-root” problems correspond to $\eta = 1/2$ and $\beta = 1$, leading to $O_P(n^{-1/3})$.

Median estimation corresponds to $\eta = 1$, leading to $O_P(n^{-1/(2\beta)})$.

4. Statistical Applications

Assumption-lean Regression

Observe $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_{2n}, Y_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ from a distribution $P \in \mathcal{P}$:

$$Y_i = \theta_P^\top X_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ where } \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i X_i] = 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i^2 | X_i] = \sigma_i^2.$$

The target parameter is $\theta_P := \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}[(Y - \theta^\top X)^2]$.

- θ_P exists without making the linearity assumption for $\mathbb{E}[Y_i | X_i]$.
- We allow $d \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

We define the confidence set as $\text{CI}_{n,\alpha} := \{\bar{\xi} \leq n^{-1/2} z_{1-\alpha} \hat{\sigma} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$

where $\xi_i := \{Y_i - \theta^\top X_i\}^2 - \{Y_i - \hat{\theta}^\top X_i\}^2$.

Assumption-lean Regression

Denote the gram matrix $\Gamma_P := \mathbb{E}[XX^\top]$.

Theorem 11 (informal)

Assume

(A1) $0 < \underline{\lambda} \leq \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma_P), \lambda_{\max}(\Gamma_P) \leq \bar{\lambda} < \infty$.

(A2) $0 < \underline{\sigma} \leq \sigma_i \leq \bar{\sigma} < \infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(A3) There exists $L \geq 1$ such that $(\mathbb{E}[|t^\top X|^4])^{1/4} \leq L(\mathbb{E}[|t^\top X|^2])^{1/2}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.

(A4) The CLT holds for the R.V.s $\langle t, \epsilon_i X_i \rangle$ (e.g., the **Lindeberg condition**).

(A5) The initial estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is uniformly consistent for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Then, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}(\theta_P \in \text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) \geq 1 - \alpha$.

Assumption-lean Regression

Denote the gram matrix $\Gamma_P := \mathbb{E}[XX^\top]$.

Theorem 12 (informal)

Assuming (A1)-(A3) and assume $\hat{\theta}$ is the ordinary least squares (OLS).

When $d \log^2(d) \leq n$,

$$\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(\text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) = O_P \left(\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 \text{tr}(\Gamma_P)/n} \right)$$

Assumption-lean Regression

Denote the gram matrix $\Gamma_P := \mathbb{E}[XX^\top]$.

Theorem 12 (informal)

Assuming (A1)-(A3) and assume $\hat{\theta}$ is the ordinary least squares (OLS).

When $d \log^2(d) \leq n$,

$$\text{Diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(\text{CI}_{n,\alpha}) = O_P \left(\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 \text{tr}(\Gamma_P)/n} \right)$$

This result is minimax-optimal (Mourtada, 2022).

5. Summary

We introduced a general framework to perform statistical inference on the solution of optimization problems (**M-estimation**) based on **sample-splitting**.

We introduced a general framework to perform statistical inference on the solution of optimization problems (**M-estimation**) based on **sample-splitting**.

Despite irregular nature of the problem, we provide the confidence set that remains **uniformly valid** for both regular and irregular cases.

We introduced a general framework to perform statistical inference on the solution of optimization problems (**M-estimation**) based on **sample-splitting**.

Despite irregular nature of the problem, we provide the confidence set that remains **uniformly valid** for both regular and irregular cases.

The validity is agnostic to the **dimension** of the problem and the choice of the initial estimator.

We introduced a general framework to perform statistical inference on the solution of optimization problems (**M-estimation**) based on **sample-splitting**.

Despite irregular nature of the problem, we provide the confidence set that remains **uniformly valid** for both regular and irregular cases.

The validity is agnostic to the **dimension** of the problem and the choice of the initial estimator.

The width of the confidence set **converges adaptively** to the local geometric features of optimization (**curvature** and **variance**).

This talk focused on the CLT-based approach but the manuscript also develops an approach based on **concentration inequalities**.

This talk focused on the CLT-based approach but the manuscript also develops an approach based on **concentration inequalities**.

More examples in the manuscript (**Manski's estimator / quantile estimation**) demonstrate the validity and optimality of the proposed method in some challenging inference problems.

Thank You

Smirnov, N. V. (1952). Limit distributions for the terms of a variational series. *American Mathematical Society Translations*, 6.

Vogel, S. (2008b). Universal confidence sets for solutions of optimization problems. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 19(3):1467–1488.

Kim, J. and Pollard, D. (1990). Cube root asymptotics. *The Annals of Statistics*, 18(1):191–219.

Mourtada, J. (2022). Exact minimax risk for linear least squares, and the lower tail of sample covariance matrices. *The Annals of Statistics*, 50(4):2157–2178.